Jasper Jones, Esq; and Frances his Wife, which said Frances was the only Daughter and Heir at Law of Francis Leigh of Puttenham, Appellants. in the County of Surry, Efq;

John Bennett, Gent. and Mary his Wife; Henry Spencer, Gent. and ) Anne his Wife; William Vade, Francis Leigh, Esq; Richard Leigh, Jasper-Leigh Jones, Thomas Leigh of Farnham, Thomas Respondents. Wildman and Dorothea his Wife. Fitz-William Plumtree, Sir Thomas Wynn, Bart. and William Fawkes

The Appellants CASE.

HAT Sir John Leigh, late of Addington in the County of Surry, Knt. being feized in Fee of a Real Estate of 2200 l. a Year in the Counties of Surry, Kent and Middlesex, and having no Issue living, and being desirous of having Issue to inherit his Estate, he, on, or about the 15th of May, 1733, Intermarried with Elizabeth Vade, the Daughter of the Respondent William Vade (who

had been a Surgeon in the Family upwards of Twenty Years then past).

Soon after which Marriage, the Respondents John Bennett and Mary his Wife, and Anne Spencer, (by her then Name of Anne Leigh, the faid Mary and Anne being or claiming to be Cousins and Heirs at Law of the faid Sir John Leigh) preferred their Petition to the then Lord High Chancellor, grounded upon Affidavits made by several Servants who had been turned away by the said Sir John Leigh, and praying that a Commission of Lunacy might iffue against the said Sir John Leigh, which was accordingly ordered, and that each Side should give in the Names of fix Gentlemen in the said County of Surry to be Commissioners in the said Commission, and that each Side should strike out three of them, and that the remaining six should be the Commissioners; and that a special Jury of Gentlemen in the said County should be struck, and which confisted of eighteen Gentlemen of great Credit and Reputation refiding at or near Croydon, within three Miles of Addington, the Place of Sir John Leigh's Refidence, and fuch Commission was soon after accordingly executed at Croydon, on Lunacy the 2d and 3d Days of August 1733, before Sir John Lade, Bart. William Hoskins, Samuel Atkinson, Thomas rated the Scawen and John Bridges, Esqrs. And the Appellant Jasper Jones, and the said Jury, unanimously brought and 3d of in their Verdict, that the said Sir John Leigh was not a Lunatick, but was sufficient for the Government of himself and his Estate.

That Sir John Leigh having been put to great Expence in defending himself against the said Petition, often after declared his great Displeasure against the Respondents Mary Bennett and Anne Leigh. And that if they were his Heirs at Law (which he in no fort admitted, they being utter Strangers to him) they should not be the better for any Part of his Estate; and thereupon Sir John himself gave express Orders and Directions to prepare a Draft of his Will, whereby he gave, in case of Failure of Issue of his own Body, all his Real Estates to his Cousins, the Appellant Frances Jones, and the Respondent Francis Leigh's Father, in equal Moieties,

fubject to a Rent-Charge of 800 l. a Year to his Lady for Life, and some other Legacies for her Benefit. Which Will bore Date the 27th of August 1733, and was duly executed by Sir John Leigh, and thereby he devised to Dr. Bernard, and the Respondent Vade, and their Heirs, all his Manors, Lands, and Hereditaments in the lafavour Counties of Surry, Kent, and Middlesex, in Trust to raise so much Money, by Sale or Mortgage as would be sufficient to pay all his Debts, and the Debts of his late Son Francis Leigh deceased, and also so much of his Funeral Expences and Legacies as his Perfonal Estate, not Specifically given, should fall short to pay, and afterwards to raise and pay to his dear and loving Wife Dame Elizabeth Leigh, for her Life, the clear yearly Sum of 800 l. by half yearly Payments, in full of her Dower, and should permit his said Wife to enjoy, for her Life, his Mansion-House and Gardens at Addington, with the Lands and Grounds which he usually kept in his own Possession, and for the Support thereof he charged his Estate with 100 l. a Year.

And subject thereto, he devised all his Real Estate, to the first and other Son and Sons of his own Body, in Tail Male, and in Default of fuch Issue, to his Daughters as Tenants in Common, and not as Joint Tenants,

and the Heirs of their Bodies, and in Default of fuch Isfue.

This As to one Moiety of his faid Manors, Lands, and Hereditaments subject as aforesaid, he devised the same to Leigh Francis Leigh of Hawley in the County of Kent, Esq. for his Life, with Remainder to Trustees to preserve continues as gentRemainders, and after the decease of the said Francis Leigh, to his first and other Sons in Tail Male, and in dent Default of such Issue, to the Use of his Cousin the Appellant Frances Jones, and the Issue Male of her Body.

And as to the other Moiety of the faid Manors, Lands, and Hereditaments, he devised the fame to the Appellant Frances Jones, and the Issue Male of her Body, and in Default of such Issue, to her Daughters, and in Default of fuch Issue to the Respondent Thomas Leigh.

And he further gave to his Wife all her Jewels, and also all his Plate, Houshold Goods, Coaches and Horses, and he gave to two Persons therein named 301. a Year a-piece for their Lives, and appointed his Wife Executrix of his faid Will.

Lady Leigh dying on or about the 27th of January 1736, without Issue, and Francis Leigh Father of the Re-John spondent Francis Leigh, being then also dead, Sir John Leigh made a new Will, bearing Date the 30th of January, 2d & 1736, whereby he devised to the Respondents Fitz-William Plumtree and Thomas Wildman and their Heirs, all his Manors, Lands, and Hereditaments in the County of Surry, in Trust to raise so much Money, by Sale or Mortgage thereof, as would be sufficient to pay all his Debts, and the Debts of his late Son Francis Leigh, and also his Funeral Expences and Legacies.

And as to one Moiety of the Premises (charged as herein after is mentioned) he devised the same to the Respondent Francis Leigh for his Lite, with Remainder to the said Trustees, to preserve contingent Remainders, Remainder to the first and other Son and Sons of the Respondent Francis Leigh, and the Issue Male of his Body; and in Default of fuch Issue, to his Cousin the Appellant Frances Jones, and the Issue Male of her Body.

And as to the other Moiety of the faid Manors and Premises, he devised the same to the Appellant Frances Jones, and the Issue Male of her Body; and in Default of such Issue, to the Issue Female of the said Appellant; and in Default of such Issue, to the Respondent Thomas Leigh, and the Issue Male of his Body.

And he devised his Manor of East-Wickham and Stains, and a Fee-Farm Rent of 50 l. a Year issuing out

of Stanwell in the County of Middlesex, to his Father-in-Law the Respondent Vade and his Heirs, and gave to John Stalker, an Annuity of 30 l. a Year for his Life, and gave all his Personal Estate to the Respondent Vade, and charged his Estate in the County of Surry, with the Payment of his own and his late Son's Debts, and appointed the Respondent Vade Executor thereof.

After this, Sir John Leigh fuffered, as is pretended, one or more common Recoveries of his Estate; and by Indentures of Lease and Release, bearing Date the 9th and 10th of September, 1737, purporting Conveyances of all his faid Real Estate to the Respondents Sir Thomas Wynn, William Fawkes, and Thomas Wildman,

To the Use of himself for Life, without Impeachment of Waste, with Remainder to Trustees during his Life, to preserve contingent Remainders, Remainder to his first and other Son and Sons in Tail Male, Remainder to his Daughters in Tail general, and in Default of fuch Islue.

Then as concerning the Manor of Addington, and all other the Premises in the County of Surry (chargeable with an Annuity of 40 l. a Year to the faid Respondents Wildman and his Wife, for their Lives and the Life of the longer Liver of them) as to one Moiety thereof to the Use of the Respondent Francis Leigh for his Life, with Remainder to Trustees, to preserve contingent Remainders, Remainder to his first and other Son and Sons in Tail Male, Remainder to the Respondent Richard Leigh an Infant, Brother of the Respondent Francis Leigh for his Life, Remainder to his first and other Son and Sons in Tail Male: And for Default of fuch Issue as to the same Moiety, and also as to the other Moiety of the same Premises in the County of Surry, to the Use of the Appellant Frances Jones for her Life, with Remainder to the Trustees during her Life, to preserve contingent Remainders, Remainder to Trustees for a Term of Five Hundred Years, in Trust for raising Portions for Daughters and younger Sons of the Appellant Frances Jones, as therein is mentioned, Remainder to the first and other Sons of the said Appellant Frances Jones in Tail Male, Remainder to her Daughters and the Heirs of their Bodies, Remainder to the Respondent Thomas Leigh and his Heirs. And as to the Premises in the Counties of Kent and Middlesex (being of the yearly Value of 300 l. or thereabouts) to the Use of the Respondent Vade and his Heirs for ever. In which Settlement is contained a Power for Sir John Leigh to make Leases of any Part of the Premises, as is usual; and also a Power to Revoke or make Void all and every the Uses therein contained, so as such Revocation was in the Presence of three Persons therein named (all particular Friends of Sir John Leigh) their Executors, Administrators, or Assigns: And there is also a Power for the said Trustees, after the Decease of Sir John Leigh, to raise out of the Rents and Profits, or by Mortgage or Sale of Part of the Premises in the County of Surry, fo much Money as would effectually pay and discharge all such Debts as Sir John Leigh might owe at the Time of his Death. That Sir John Leigh died the 16th of November 1737, without Iffue; upon whose Death the Respondent Sir John Leigh died Nov. 16, Francis Leigh, and the Appellants, in Right of the Appellant Frances Jones, entered upon the faid Estate in the County of Surry, and enjoyed the same quietly, till in or about Easter Term 1739, when the Respondents John Bennett and Mary his Wife, and Henry Spencer and Anne his Wife delivered Ejectments. And on the 10th of May 1739, they exhibited their Bill in the Court of Chancery, against the Appellants, and the Respondent Jasper-Leigh Jones their eldest Son, and against the Respondents Francis Leigh and Richard Leigh his Brother, William Vade, Fitz-William Plumtree, Thomas Wildman and Dorothea his Wise, 1cth of May, Respondents Bennett and Thomas Leigh of Farnham, John Stalker, Sir Thomas Wynn, and William Fawkes, thereby fetting forth, That their Wives the faid then Plaintiffs Mary and Anne were Cousins and Heirs at Law of Sir John Leigh; and charging that brought their the faid Sir John Leigh was at the Times of executing the faid last mentioned Will and Settlement, and suffering the Recoveries therein mentioned, and for many Years before, and at the Time of his Death, under an Infane State of Mind, and incapable of knowing the Contents of the faid Will, Recoveries and Settlement: And that the Respondent Vade and the Appellants, and the Respondent Francis Leigh, or one of them, gave Instructions in what Manner the said Will and Settlement were to be made, and gave Directions under their Hands, or otherwise, to the Respondent Wildman, touching the Drawing thereof, or touching the Disposition of the Estate of the said Sir John Leigh, without the Privity, Consent, or Direction of Sir John Leigh, And praying by their faid Bill, that the faid Settlement might be fet afide for the great Fraud and Impofition in the obtaining the fame, and that the faid Will might be fet afide for the like Fraud and Imposition, or that the Testimony of the said then Plaintiss Witnesses, relating thereto, might be perpetuated in the faid Court of Chancery; and that in the mean time, and until the faid then Plaintiffs Right could be determined at Law, the Appellants, and the faid other Respondents, might be restrained from committing Waste upon the Premises by Injunction, and that all the Deeds and Writings relating to the said Estates, might be brought into Court for fafe Custody: And in case it should appear, that the Right and Title of the then Plaintiffs was meerly at Law, that then the Appellants and the said Respondents might be restrained from setting up any Terms for Years, or other Incumbrances, upon the Trial of any Action at Law brought, or to be brought by the faid then Plaintiffs touching the Premises; and that the Appellants and the other Respondents might come to an Account with the then Plaintiffs, as well for the Rents and Profits of the faid Real Estate, as also for the Waste committed by them since the Death of the said Sir John Leigh. To which Bill, the Appellants and the faid Respondent Francis Leigh, put in their Answers, and thereby set forth the faid Commission of Lunacy, and the Execution thereof, and the faid Verdict, and the Appellants, by their faid Answer denied that they gave any Instructions or Directions to any Person or Persons employed in drawing or preparing the faid Wills or Settlement, or touching the Disposition of any Part of the Real or Perfonal Estate of the said Sir John Leigh, save that the Appellant Jasper Jones, at the Desire of Sir John Leigh, named two Trustees in the said Settlement: And that the Appellants believed, that Sir John Leigh gave the Respondent Thomas Wildman the said former Will of the 27th of August 1733, with verbal Instructions to draw a new Will; and that the said Settlement was perused and settled by John Ward of the Inner Temple Esq;

by the Order and Direction of Sir John Leigh.

And the Appellants and the Respondent Francis Leigh, by their said Answer, also denied all other Charges in the said Bill, relating to any Fraud or Imposition in obtaining the said Will and Settlement, or that Sir John Leigh was, at the Time of the Execution thereof, under any Insane State of Mind, or incapable of knowing or judging of the Contents of the said Will and Settlement, or of giving proper Directions or Instructions for the drawing or preparing thereof: And the Appellants and the Respondent Francis Leigh averred, that they were wholly Strangers to the preparing and executing the said Will and Settlement, and all Transactions concerning the same, otherwise than as aforesaid, and insisted, that they were well intitled to the said Estates, devised and settled upon them by the Will of Sir John Leigh, and by the Settlement of the 9th and 10th of September 1737, or one of them.

That the Appellants and the Respondent Francis Leigh being entire Strangers to the Methods of proceeding in the Courts of Justice, and they residing upwards of twenty Miles distant from Sir John Leigh, and never seeing Sir John above two or three Times in a Year, and then upon Visits of Respect and Friendship to Sir John, they were entirely ignorant of any undue Insluence which the Respondent Vade might have over Sir John Leigh, and to all Fraud and Imposition alledged by the Respondents in their Bill, as to the obtaining of the said Will and Settlement: And there being several Creditors upon Specialty and Simple Contracts of Sir John Leigh, who were clamorous for Payment of their Debts, the Appellants and the Respondent Francis Leigh, were pre-

vailed upon to join with the Respondent Vade in a Cross Bill; and accordingly,

In Michaelmas Term, 1739, the Appellants and the Respondents Francis Leigh and Vade exhibited their Bill in the said Court of Chancery, against the Respondents John Bennett and Mary his Wife, Henry Spencer and Anne his Wife, and the Respondents Sir Thomas Wynn, William Fawkes, and Thomas Wildman, the Trustees named in the said Settlement, setting forth, that the said Sir John Leigh died indebted to several Persons, to the Amount of 8000 l. and upwards, upon Mortgages, Specialities, and Simple Contrasts, which being by the said Will and the Settlement of the 10th of September 1737, charged upon his Estate in the County of Surry. The said Cross Bill prayed, That the said Trustees might be ordered to execute the said Trust reposed in

The faid Cross Bill prayed, That the faid Trustees might be ordered to execute the said Trust reposed in them, and that the Appellants and the Respondents Francis Leigh and William Vade the Plaintiffs in the said Bill might be at Liberty to examine Witnesses to the said Will and Settlement, and to the sanity Capacity and Understanding of Sir John Leigh, at the Time of executing the said Will and Settlement, and that their Testimony might be preserved and perpetuated.

Soon after which Cross Bill, the Appellants and the Respondents Francis Leigh and William Vade, were advited to obtain an Order of the Court of Chancery, That the Respondents Bennett and Spencer and their Wives, might make their Election, whether they would proceed in that Court, or at Law; and if in that Court, then their Proceedings at Law were thereby flayed by Injunction: And the faid Respondents having made their Election to proceed in the Court of Chancery, and having put in their Answers to the Cross Bill, and the Plaintiffs in both Causes having replied, and both Causes being at Issue, divers Witnesses were examined on both Sides; and both the faid Caufes came on to be heard together before the Right Honourable the Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain, on the 26th Day of May 1742, whereupon his Lordship was pleased to adjourn the said Causes to the first Day of Causes in the then next Term; and in the mean time any of the said Parties, or their Sollicitors, were to be at Liberty to inspect all the Deeds and Writings relating to the Matters in Question, in the Custody or Power of any of the Parties, their Sollicitors, or Clerks in Court, to be ascertained by the Affidavits of the respective Parties, and to be produced upon Notice at the further Hearing of the Causes. And in pursuance thereof, all Deeds and Writings being produced and inspected on both Sides:

Afterwards, on the 4th Day of June 1742, on the Motion of the Plaintiffs in the original Cause, and without the least Knowledge of, or Notice to the Appellants, or the Respondent Leigh, but on hearing the Respondent Wildman only, another Order was made in the faid Original Cause, by the Lord High Chancellor, with the Consent of Wildman; and on the Plaintiffs submitting to pay him his Costs, that he the said Wildman should forthwith carry into the Office of Mr. Prothonotary Thompson all the Rolls, Writs of Entries, and other the Proceedings by him taken out and detained, of the Three several Common Recoveries therein mentioned (and which the Plaintiffs in the Original Cause, charge and insist were obtained by Imposition from the said Sir John Leigh, and whilst he was under such Incapacity of Mind as aforesaid) in order that such Recoveries might be filed on Record in the faid Court of Common Pleas, and that the faid Wildman should proceed to perfect the same, ac-

cording to the Course of the said Court.

That foon after the Respondent Wildman, in Obedience to the last mentioned Order, passed all the said Recoveries thro' the feveral Offices, and procured the fame to be recorded and perfected, as if they had really and

in Fact passed in Easter Term 1737, in the Life-time of Sir John Leigh.

That afterwards the faid Causes were heard before the Lord Chancellor on the 28th of June 1742, whereupon his Lordship was pleased to declare (without any Mention of the Recoveries perfected as aforesaid, or of the faid Wills of the faid Sir John Leigh) that the faid Indentures of Lease and Release, dated the 9th and 10th of September 1737, were procured from Sir John Leigh by Fraud, Imposition, and Circumvention, and by Means of the undue Influence obtained by the Respondent Vade, over the Weakness of Sir John Leigh, and that the fame ought to be fet aside; and did therefore decree, That the Cross Bill should stand dismissed with Costs: And in the original Cause decreed, That the said Deeds should be set aside and delivered up to be cancelled, and that the Appellants, and the other Defendants in that Cause, should by proper Conveyances and Affurances in the Law (as the Mafter to whom the faid Causes were referred, should think fit) convey the Premises in question to the Respondents Mary Bennett and Anne Spencer, the Heirs at Law of Sir John Leigh, and their Heirs, as Tennants in common: And that the Appellants, and the Respondents Vade and Francis Leigh should deliver Possession of the said Estates to the Plaintiffs in the said Cause, and should account for the Rents and Profits thereof, and for the Monies raifed by Sale of any Wood or Timber, fince the Death of Sir John Leigh, and should pay one Moiety thereof to the Respondents Bennett and his Wife, and the other Moiety to the Respondents Spencer and his Wife, and that all the Deeds and Writings relating to the said Estates, should be produced before the said Master, upon Oath, and deposited with him for the equal Benefit of the faid Respondents Bennett and Spencer and their Wives: And that the Appellants, and the said other Respon-

any Wood or Timber from off the same for the future. And the said Decree was to be binding, as against the Respondents Richard Leigh and Jasper-Leigh Jones, (the Appellants eldest Son) who are Infants, unless they being served with Subpanas to shew Cause against the fame, should within Six Months after they should attain their Ages of Twenty-One Years; shew good Cause

dents should be restrained from receiving any of the Rents and Profits of the Premises, and from cutting down

to the contrary.

And the faid Bennett and Spencer, and their Wives, were quietly to hold and enjoy the Premises against the said Infants in the mean time: But the faid Decree was to be without Prejudice to any Remedy or Relief, which any of the Creditors of Sir John Leigh might then after feek, by Virtue of the Proviso in the said Settlement of the

10th of September 1737, for raising Money for the Payment of Sir John Leigh's Debts.

And it was further Ordered, That the Respondents Vade and Wildman, should pay to the said Respondents, Bennett and his Wife, and Spencer and his Wife, their Costs of that Suit to the time of such Decree, and the Confideration of the subsequent Costs and all further Directions were reserved till after the Master should make

his Report.

That the Appellants, being ferved with a Writ of Execution of the faid Decreetal Order, have been obliged, in Obedience thereto, to deliver up to the Respondents Bennett and Spencer and their Wives, the Possion of that Part of the Estate in question, which was devised and settled upon the Appellant Frances as aforesaid, and have produced upon Oath, all Deeds and Writings before the faid Master, and the said Bennet and Spencer and their Wives, have left with the said Master a Draft of a Deed, whereby, and by a Fine therein covenanted, to be levied, the Appellants are to convey the Estates in question to the Respondents Mary Bennett and Anne Spencer and their Heirs, and the faid Respondents are now proceeding to have the said Deed settled by the said Master.

The Appellants conceiving that they are aggrieved by the said Decree, have appealed to your Lordships from the same, and bumbly hope that the said Decree shall be reversed (among st others) for the REASONS following:

For that there is not the least Evidence or Ground of any Fraud, Imposition, Circumvention, or undue Influence in the Appellants, or the Respondent Francis Leigh (to and upon whom, the greatest Part of Sir John Leigh's Estate is devised and settled, by his several Wills of 1733, and 1736, and by the Settlement of 1737) to procure either of the faidWills or Settlement, and as they are intirely Innocent, and not in any fort concerned in, or privy to any undue Means used in obtaining the said Wills and Settlement, or either of them, from Sir John Leigh, fo the Appellants humbly hope they are to be diftinguished from the Respondent Vade, who is the Person charged by the Decree, with such Practices, and who alone (if Guilty) ought to be the Sufferer, and not the Appellants; and the rather,

For that it manifestly appears to have been the constant Intention of the said Sir John Leigh, as well by his Will of the 27th of August 1733, as by his Will of the 30th of January 1736, and the faid Indentures of Lease and Release of the 9th and 10th of September 1737, to give great Part of his Real Estate after his Death,

without Issue, to the Appellant Frances and her Issue. For that it fully appears by the Proofs in the Cause, that the Will of the 30th of January 1736, and the Indentures of Lease and Release of the 9th and 10th of September 1737, were duly executed by Sir John Leigh, and that the faid Will was read over to him just before he executed the same, and that he was then of found Mind, Memory, and Understanding, and it is fully proved in the Cause, by many Witnesses of undoubted Characters and Reputation, and found by the Inquifition upon the Commission of Lunacy, upon the Oaths of eighteen Gentlemen of great Credit in the Neighbourhood where he resided for the greatest Part of his Life, that Sir John Leigh, at the time of taking the faid Inquisition was not a Lunatick, but was sufficient for the Government of himfelf and his Manors, Meffuages, Lands, Tenements, Goods and Chartels.

the faid Deed lettled by the faid

28th of June, Decree in Chancery.

Reasons I

ees to

the ry. in aid

e

O

15

in

g

ey

n-

e-

Sir

bn iid

b,

re-

Bill

nd

and sti-

[4]

For that the said three Recoveries so entered on Record, and pretended to be perfected by the Respondent Wildman, as of Easter Term 1737, (above five Years after the Death of the said Sir John Leigh) were not Recoveries duly executed by the said Sir John Leigh in his Life-time, and ought not therefore to be of any Effect or avail whatsoever, or that if such Recoveries were duly suffered by the said Sir John Leigh, that yet they and the said Lease and Release of the 9th and 10th of September 1737, made but all one Conveyance in Law or Equity; and that therefore if the said Lease and Release ought to be set aside (as by the said Decree they are ordered to be) for Fraud and Imposition, the said Recoveries ought also for the same Reason to have been decreed to be set aside, such Fraud and Imposition destroying totally, the very Existence of such Recoveries and all Intention whatsoever in the said Sir John Leigh, either to revoke any former Will of his, or for any other Purpose whatsoever.

For that as the Respondents Bennett and Spencer, and their Wives, by their Bill in the Court of Chancery, grounded their Relief upon the Infanity of Sir John Leigh, and prayed (amongst other Things) that the Testimony of their Witnesses might be perpetuated in that Court, and that the Appellants and the Respondents, Francis Leigh and William Vade, might be enjoined from committing Waste on the several Estates of the said Sir John Leigh, until their Right could be determined at Law; so the Appellants applied their Proofs to the Sanity of Sir John Leigh and his Capacity to transact his Assairs, and dispose of his Estate, which was the Point put in Issue in both the said Causes, and the Appellants humbly apprehend, and are advised, that the Strength of the Evidence, and Credit of the Witnesses, is on their Side, and that the said Indentures of Lease and Release of the 9th and 10th of September 1737, ought not to have been set aside, without first directing an Issue at Law

to try whether the fame were fairly obtained or not.

In case the said Settlement should be set aside, so as that the Appellants are to have no Benefit thereby, the Appellants humbly hope, that the same should be set aside for all other Purposes whatsoever, and that in such Case the Will of the said Sir John Leigh, dated the 30th of January 1736, shall take Effect, or at least that an Issue at Law shall be directed to try, whether the said Sir John Leigh was, at the time of executing the said

Will, of found and disposing Mind and Understanding.

promptr 1737; or one of their

erning the fame, otherwife than as aforetain, and released and fettled upon them by the NVIII of 1881.

For that the Appellants are decreed, by proper Conveyances and Affurances in the Law, to convey the Premises in question, to the Respondents Mary Bennett and Anne Spencer, and their Heirs; which being not possible to be done save by Fine, the same Will not only absolutely bar and extinguish her the said Appellant Frances's own Right, but also the Right and Title of her Issue, under the Will of Sir John Leigh and the Respondent Jasper-Leigh Jones her eldest Son, being an Infant, will thereby be prejudiced in his Right of shewing Cause against the said Decree, when he shall attain his Age of Twenty-one Years, and of putting in another Answer, and making such new Desence as he shall then be advised to make.

Wherefore, and for divers other Reasons, the Appellants bumbly hope, That the said Decree shall be reversed, and that the said Indentures of Lease and Release of the 9th and 10th of September 1737, shall be established, and the Trust thereof performed, or otherwise, that the Appellants shall have an Opportunity of trying their Title at Law by a Jury of the Neighbourhood, where the said Sir John Leigh usually resided, and who will be able to judge of his Capacity or Incapacity, when the Witnesses on both Sides are brought Face to Face, or that Your Lordships will be pleased to make such other Order for the Appellants Relief, as Your Lordships, in your great Wisdom and Justice, shall think sit.

RICHARD LLOYD.

A. HUME-CAMPBELL.

Jasper Jones, Esq; and Appellants,

John Bennett and Henry
Spencer, Gent. and Respondents.
their Wives, and Respondents.
others

The Appellant CASE.

To be heard at the Bar of the House of
Lord S, on Wednesday the 12th Day of
December 1744.

VII.

